Results:

2*8 Within subject (Crime)

Descriptive Statistics: (Crime)

	Numbe	er of offences	Mean Risk Rating		
Cause of offence	Day	Year	Day	Year	
Shoplifting	933	340719	14.6383	13.8085	
Burglary	1091	398406	14.8511	14.9787	
Bicycle	232	84794	15.1915	12.4681	
Vehicle	1006	367447	15.0851	13.3404	
Robbery	113	41419	15.6383	14.5532	
Theft	4814	1756937	15.7021	14.7660	
Interfering with a motorcycle	126	45832	13.9787	12.4681	
Robbery of personal property	126	46033	15.3617	13.6170	
Mean			15.0559	13.7500	
(SD)			0.56647	0.97560	

> Test for Main and Interaction effects:

- 1. At first from Mauchly's Test of Sphericity table we observe
 - As time has just two levels so no need for checking sphericity assumption
 - For Risk p-value>0.05 so it is insignificant and we assume that sphericity condition is met. For F-Ratio of Risk in within subject effect table, we have to observe Sphericity assumed row.
 - For Time*Risk p-value<0.05 so it is significant. For F-Ratio of Time*Risk in within subject effect we have to observe Greenhouse-Geisser row.

	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity ^a								
Measure:	Measure: Rate								
Epsilon ^b									
Within		Approx.							
Subjects	Mauchly's	Chi-			Greenhouse- Huynh- Lower				
Effect	W	Square	df	Sig.	Geisser	Feldt	bound		
Time	1.000	0.000	0		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Risk	.419	37.807	27	.082 .817 .946 .143					
Time *	.207	68.523	27	.000	.691	.782	.143		
Risk									

2. From Test of within subjects table we observe

There is no significant main or interaction effect.

- As for time F(1,46)=2.501 & p-value 0.121>0.05, (Highlighted by yellow color)
- for Risk F(7,322)=1.667 & p-value 0.133>0.05, (Highlighted by Green color)
- for time*risk interaction effect F(5,222)=1.389 & p-value 0.231>0.05. (Highlighted by yellow color)

	Te	sts of Within-Subjects Effe	cts (Crime)					
Measure: Rate								
Source		Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
	Sphericity Assumed	320.586	1	320.586	2.501	.121		
Time	Greenhouse-Geisser	320.586	1.000	320.586	2.501	.121		
Tille	Huynh-Feldt	320.586	1.000	320.586	2.501	.121		
	Lower-bound	320.586	1.000	320.586	2.501	.121		
	Sphericity Assumed	5897.101	46	128.198				
Error(Time)	Greenhouse-Geisser	5897.101	46.000	128.198				
Enor(mile)	Huynh-Feldt	5897.101	46.000	128.198				
	Lower-bound	5897.101	46.000	128.198				
	Sphericity Assumed	303.477	7	43.354	1.667	.116		
Risk	Greenhouse-Geisser	303.477	5.716	53.090	1.667	.133		
NISK	Huynh-Feldt	303.477	6.620	45.844	1.667	.121		
	Lower-bound	303.477	1.000	303.477	1.667	.203		
	Sphericity Assumed	8374.585	322	26.008				
Error(Risk)	Greenhouse-Geisser	8374.585	262.951	31.848				
EITOI (NISK)	Huynh-Feldt	8374.585	304.508	27.502				
	Lower-bound	8374.585	46.000	182.056				
	Sphericity Assumed	115.233	7	16.462	1.389	.209		
Time * Risk	Greenhouse-Geisser	115.233	4.834	23.838	1.389	.231		
Tille Nisk	Huynh-Feldt	115.233	5.471	21.064	1.389	.224		
	Lower-bound	115.233	1.000	115.233	1.389	.245		
	Sphericity Assumed	3816.580	322	11.853				
Error(Time*	Greenhouse-Geisser	3816.580	222.367	17.163				
Risk)	Huynh-Feldt	3816.580	251.646	15.166				
	Lower-bound	3816.580	46.000	82.969				

2*8 Within subject (Health)

> Descriptive Statistics: (Health)

	Numb	Number of Deaths		sk Rating
Cause of death	Day	Year	Day	Year
Strokes	115	42000	15.6809	13.9574
Heart Disease	225	82000	17.3404	15.4255
Pneumonia	86	31600	14.5532	12.2128
Kidney Disease	116	42500	15.9149	13.2766
HIV	1	500	12.1489	12.4894
Cancer	431	157300	17.1277	16.7660
Diabetes	8	72500	15.2128	14.3617
Respiratory Diseases	116	25000	16.2979	14.9574
Mean			15.5346	14.1809
(SD)			1.65084	1.53390

> Test for Main and Interaction effects

- 1. At first from Mauchly's Test of Sphericity table we observe
 - As time has just two levels so no need for checking sphericity assumption
 - For Risk p-value<0.05 so it is significant. For F-ratio of Risk in within subject effect table, we have to observe Greenhouse-Geisser row.
 - For Time*Risk p-value<0.05 so it is significant. For F-ratio of Time*Risk in within subject effect we have to observe Greenhouse-Geisser row.

	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity ^a								
Measure:	Rate								
Within		Approx.				Epsilon ^b			
Subjects Effect	Mauchly's W	Chi- Square	df	Sig.	Greenhouse- Geisser	Huynh- Feldt	Lower- bound		
Time	1.000	0.000	0		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Risk	.183	73.698	27	.000	.690	.780	.143		
Time * Risk	.170	77.043	27	.000	.641	.719	.143		

2. From Test of within subjects table we observe

There is no significant main effect of Time and Interaction effect of Time*Risk .

- As for time F(1,46)=2.089 & p-value 0.155>0.05, (Highlighted by yellow color)
- for Time*Risk interaction effect F(4,206)=2.223 & p-value 0.06>0.05. (Highlighted by yellow color)
- But for Risk F(5,222)=8.151 P-value for Risk is 0.000<.05. So there is significant main effect of Risk. (Highlighted by Blue color)

	Tests o	of Within-Subjects	Effects(Hea	lth)		
Measure: Rate		-		•		
Source		Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Sphericity Assumed	344.523	1	344.523	2.089	.155
Time	Greenhouse-Geisser	344.523	1.000	344.523	2.089	.155
Time	Huynh-Feldt	344.523	1.000	344.523	2.089	.155
	Lower-bound	344.523	1.000	344.523	2.089	.155
	Sphericity Assumed	7587.165	46	164.938		
Fran/Time)	Greenhouse-Geisser	7587.165	46.000	164.938		
Error(Time)	Huynh-Feldt	7587.165	46.000	164.938		
	Lower-bound	7587.165	46.000	164.938		
	Sphericity Assumed	1501.914	7	214.559	8.151	.000
Diale	Greenhouse-Geisser	1501.914	4.827	311.138	8.151	.000
Risk	Huynh-Feldt	1501.914	5.462	274.986	8.151	.000
	Lower-bound	1501.914	1.000	1501.914	8.151	.006
	Sphericity Assumed	8475.649	322	26.322		
Francisco (Diele)	Greenhouse-Geisser	8475.649	222.050	38.170		
Error(Risk)	Huynh-Feldt	8475.649	251.242	33.735		
	Lower-bound	8475.649	46.000	184.253		
	Sphericity Assumed	168.786	7	24.112	2.223	.032
Time * Risk	Greenhouse-Geisser	168.786	4.486	37.623	2.223	.060
Time Risk	Huynh-Feldt	168.786	5.031	33.550	2.223	.052
	Lower-bound	168.786	1.000	168.786	2.223	.143
	Sphericity Assumed	3493.027	322	10.848		
Error/Time*Diels	Greenhouse-Geisser	3493.027	206.366	16.926		
Error(Time*Risk)	Huynh-Feldt	3493.027	231.422	15.094		
	Lower-bound	3493.027	46.000	75.935		

*2*2*2 anova (Between CRT)

Descriptive Statistics: (CRTHiLow)

CRTHiLow		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
	low	23	15.7609	3.40306
MeanCrimeDA	high	24	14.3802	4.13470
	Total	47	15.0559	3.81749
	low	23	14.0924	5.18811
MeanCrimeYEAR	high	24	13.4219	6.15910
	Total	47	13.7500	5.65289
	low	23	15.5707	4.07978
MeanHealthDAY	high	24	15.5000	4.63549
	Total	47	15.5346	4.32500
	low	23	13.9457	3.78766
MeanHealthYear	high	24	14.4063	5.63824
	Total	47	14.1809	4.77602

> Test for Main and Interaction effects:

Here all the factors have just two level so no need for checking condition of Sphericity from Mauchlys table

- From Test of within subjects table following Greenhouse-Geisser we observe
 There is no significant main or interaction effect. (All Highlighted by yellow color) As
 - For Time F(1,45)=1.006 & P-value 0.321>0.05
 - Time * CRTHiLow interaction effect F(1,45)=1.920 & P-value 0.173>0.05,
 - For Domain F(1,45)=2.799 & P-value 0.101>0.05
 - For Domain * CRTHiLow interaction effect F(1,45)=0.151& P-value 0.699>0.05.
 - For Time * Domain interaction effect F(1,45)=0.003 & P-value 0.954>0.05.
 - For Time * Domain * CRTHiLow interaction effect F(1,45)=0.013 & P-value 0.911>0.05.

		Tests of Within-Subjects	Effects			
Measure: Rate						
Source		Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Sphericity Assumed	9.170	1	9.170	1.006	.321
T:	Greenhouse-Geisser	9.170	1.000	9.170	1.006	.321
Time	Huynh-Feldt	9.170	1.000	9.170	1.006	.321
	Lower-bound	9.170	1.000	9.170	1.006	.321
	Sphericity Assumed	17.497	1	17.497	1.920	.173
T' * CDT!!!	Greenhouse-Geisser	17.497	1.000	17.497	1.920	.173
Time * CRTHiLow	Huynh-Feldt	17.497	1.000	17.497	1.920	.173
	Lower-bound	17.497	1.000	17.497	1.920	.173
	Sphericity Assumed	410.079	45	9.113		
F (T')	Greenhouse-Geisser	410.079	45.000	9.113		
Error(Time)	Huynh-Feldt	410.079	45.000	9.113		
	Lower-bound	410.079	45.000	9.113		
	Sphericity Assumed	83.901	1	83.901	2.799	.101
D	Greenhouse-Geisser	83.901	1.000	83.901	2.799	.101
Domain	Huynh-Feldt	83.901	1.000	83.901	2.799	.101
	Lower-bound	83.901	1.000	83.901	2.799	.101
	Sphericity Assumed	4.525	1	4.525	.151	.699
Domain *	Greenhouse-Geisser	4.525	1.000	4.525	.151	.699
CRTHiLow	Huynh-Feldt	4.525	1.000	4.525	.151	.699
	Lower-bound	4.525	1.000	4.525	.151	.699
	Sphericity Assumed	1348.926	45	29.976		
Error/Domain)	Greenhouse-Geisser	1348.926	45.000	29.976		
Error(Domain)	Huynh-Feldt	1348.926	45.000	29.976		
	Lower-bound	1348.926	45.000	29.976		
	Sphericity Assumed	.025	1	.025	.003	.954
Time * Domain	Greenhouse-Geisser	.025	1.000	.025	.003	.954
Time Domain	Huynh-Feldt	.025	1.000	.025	.003	.954
	Lower-bound	.025	1.000	.025	.003	.954
	Sphericity Assumed	.094	1	.094	.013	.911
Time * Domain *	Greenhouse-Geisser	.094	1.000	.094	.013	.911
CRTHiLow	Huynh-Feldt	.094	1.000	.094	.013	.911
	Lower-bound	.094	1.000	.094	.013	.911
	Sphericity Assumed	331.988	45	7.378		
Error(Time*Domai	Greenhouse-Geisser	331.988	45.000	7.378		
n)	Huynh-Feldt	331.988	45.000	7.378		
	Lower-bound	331.988	45.000	7.378		

2. From Between Subject table we observe there is no significant effect between two low and high group scored CRT. (Highlighted by Blue color)
As here for CRTHiLow F(1,45)=0.189 & P-value 0.666>0.05

	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects (CRT)								
Measure: Rate	Э								
Transformed \	/ariable: Average								
Source	Type III Sum of df Mean Square F Sig.								
	Squares								
Intercept	40246.773	1	40246.773	938.966	.000				
CRTHiLow	8.103	1	8.103	.189	.666				
Error	1928.829	45	42.863						

*2*2*2 anova (Between REI)

Descriptive Statistics: (REIHiLow)

REIHiLow	REIHiLow			Std. Deviation
	low	10	15.6625	2.52766
MeanCrimeDAY	high	12	15.0104	4.72555
	Total	22	15.3068	3.81389
	low	10	15.0500	4.25620
MeanCrimeYEAR	high	12	13.8438	5.77394
	Total	22	14.3920	5.06010
	low	10	14.2125	2.35485
MeanHealthDAY	high	12	16.1771	4.62038
	Total	22	15.2841	3.81592
MeanHealthYear	low	10	13.4875	4.59825
	high	12	15.0833	5.29481
	Total	22	14.3580	4.94046

> Test for Main and Interaction effects

Here all the factors have just two level so no need for checking condition of Sphericity from Mauchlys table.

From Test of within subjects table following Greenhouse-Geisser we observe
 There is no significant main or interaction effect. Except Time * REIHiLow interaction effect
 (Insignificant highlighted by yellow color and significant by green color) As

- For Time F(1,20)=0.094 & P-value 0.762>0.05
- Time * REIHiLow interaction effect F(1,20)=7.506 & P-value 0.013<0.05 (Significant)
- For Domain F(1,20)=0.712& P-value 0.409>0.05
- For Domain * REIHiLow interaction effect F(1,20)=0.047& P-value 0.831>0.05.
- For Time * Domain interaction effect F(1,20)=0.000 & P-value 0.985>0.05.
- For Time * Domain * CRTHiLow interaction effect F(1,20)=0.008 & P-value 0.930>0.05.

	Tests of Within-Subjects Effects								
Measure: Rate									
Source		Type III Sum of	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
		Squares							
	Sphericity Assumed	.501	1	.501	.094	.762			
Time a	Greenhouse-Geisser	.501	1.000	.501	.094	.762			
Time	Huynh-Feldt	.501	1.000	.501	.094	.762			
	Lower-bound	.501	1.000	.501	.094	.762			
	Sphericity Assumed	40.040	1	40.040	7.506	.013			
Time a * DEIIII a	Greenhouse-Geisser	40.040	1.000	40.040	7.506	.013			
Time * REIHiLow	Huynh-Feldt	40.040	1.000	40.040	7.506	.013			
	Lower-bound	40.040	1.000	40.040	7.506	.013			
	Sphericity Assumed	106.684	20	5.334					
[Greenhouse-Geisser	106.684	20.000	5.334					
Error(Time)	Huynh-Feldt	106.684	20.000	5.334					
	Lower-bound	106.684	20.000	5.334					
	Sphericity Assumed	17.652	1	17.652	.712	.409			
Daamia	Greenhouse-Geisser	17.652	1.000	17.652	.712	.409			
Doamin	Huynh-Feldt	17.652	1.000	17.652	.712	.409			
	Lower-bound	17.652	1.000	17.652	.712	.409			
	Sphericity Assumed	1.162	1	1.162	.047	.831			
D * DEIIII	Greenhouse-Geisser	1.162	1.000	1.162	.047	.831			
Doamin * REIHiLow	Huynh-Feldt	1.162	1.000	1.162	.047	.831			
	Lower-bound	1.162	1.000	1.162	.047	.831			
	Sphericity Assumed	495.738	20	24.787					
Francy/Decarion)	Greenhouse-Geisser	495.738	20.000	24.787					
Error(Doamin)	Huynh-Feldt	495.738	20.000	24.787					
	Lower-bound	495.738	20.000	24.787					
	Sphericity Assumed	.002	1	.002	.000	.985			
Time * Decurin	Greenhouse-Geisser	.002	1.000	.002	.000	.985			
Time * Doamin	Huynh-Feldt	.002	1.000	.002	.000	.985			
	Lower-bound	.002	1.000	.002	.000	.985			
	Sphericity Assumed	.047	1	.047	.008	.930			
Time * Doamin *	Greenhouse-Geisser	.047	1.000	.047	.008	.930			
REIHiLow	Huynh-Feldt	.047	1.000	.047	.008	.930			
	Lower-bound	.047	1.000	.047	.008	.930			
	Sphericity Assumed	117.601	20	5.880					
- / +- · `	Greenhouse-Geisser	117.601	20.000	5.880					
Error(Time*Doamin)	Huynh-Feldt	117.601	20.000	5.880					
	Lower-bound	117.601	20.000	5.880					

1. From Between Subject table we observe there is no significant effect between two low and high group scored REI. (Highlighted by Blue color)
As for REIHiLow F(1,20)=0.088 & P-value 0.770>0.05

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects								
Measure: Ra	te							
Transformed	Variable: Average)						
Source	Type III Sum of	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Squares							
Intercept	19157.277	1	19157.277	427.478	.000			
REIHiLow	3.951	1	3.951	.088	.770			
Error	896.293	20	44.815	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Discussion:

- 1. For Crime score of day was little bit higher than score of year. But it isn't significant.
- 2. For Health score of day was little bit higher than score of year. But it isn't significant.
- 3. From within anova design for both Crime and Health we see the rating doesn't change significantly from day format to year format.
- 4. We also observe in different Risk questions the rating is not significantly changed both for Crime and Health
- 5. The rating of different risk questions in day format is not significantly different than the rating in year format for Crime but it is significantly different for Health.
- 6. For different level of CRT the rating is not different between Crime and Health and between day format and year format.
- 7. The two levels of CRT are not significantly different. (From between subject table)
- 8. Most of the participant scored same for REI. But 10 of them scored low and 12 scored high.
- 9. For different levels of REI the rating is not significantly different between Crime and Health but it is significantly different between day format and year format.
- 10. The two levels of REI are not significantly different. (From between subject table)